

0274 City of Raleigh  
Addendum #2 to RFQ 274-2024-CM-06 New Fire Station 15 Design and Construction  
Administration Services

1/30/2024

To: All Proposers

This addendum, containing the following additions, clarifications, and/or changes, is issued prior to receipt of responses and does hereby become part of the original RFQ documents and supersedes the original RFQ documents in case of conflict.

Receipt of this addendum must be acknowledged by signing in the area indicated below. Please make the following additions, clarifications, and/or changes to the RFQ as listed below and **sign and return this addendum with your RFQ response.**

1. Proposer Questions and Responses:

- a. Question: What type of Owner-Architect Contract will be executed? Can an example be provided?
  - i. Response: *The city's contract standard terms and conditions were provided in Appendix V. Additionally, our supplemental consultant's responsibilities are in Appendix VI.*
- b. Question: Can you provide clarity on the number of Fire Fighters including Battalion Offices that are on a shift when the station is fully occupied?
  - i. Response: *Currently, the City fire staff consist of 9 personnel per shift totaling 27 assigned to the station. This shall be verified by the consultant in programming.*
- c. Question: The RFP indicates 27 Bunks to be provided at the new station – is that correct or is it intended to be 9 individual bunks for 3 shifts totaling 27?
  - i. Response: *The City is planned for 27 bunks in this station with verification in programming.*
- d. Question: Is an alerting system (like Locution) intended to be incorporated? If so, should the design team scope this system or be prepared to coordinate with an Owner engaged vendor/installer?
  - i. Response: *The stations alert system with all speakers and trip lights homerun wired is to be incorporated and coordinated by the design consultants and installed by the construction contractor.*
- e. Question: Does the listed construction budget of \$18.7M (\$13.5M, + \$1.7 + \$3.5M) represent the hard bid scope or does it include all other project expenses like A/E fees, FFE, Land Procurement, Legal Fees, Surveys, Geotechnical Investigation, etc.?
  - i. Response: *The \$13.5M is for all project expenses with an additional \$1.7M for city's contingency. The \$3.5M is projected escalation from date*

*of estimate until construction completion in January 2027. \$18.7M is total project budget including those 3 items.*

- f. Question: Are the hourly rates requested to be submitted for the major sub-consultants in addition to the Proposer?
  - i. Response: *The hourly rates are required for the proposer only.*
- g. Question: Is the Legal or Technical Problems history requested for the sub-consultants in addition to the Proposer?
  - i. Response: *The requested history is for the proposer only.*
- h. Question: Is a Value Engineer required?
  - i. Response: *No specific Value Engineer consultant is required.*
- i. Question: Will the project include hazardous waste removal?
  - i. Response: *Hazardous waste will need to be removed during the demolition of the existing facility as warranted. Hazardous waste is not foreseen during construction but will need to be removed if found.*

END OF ADDENDUM #1

All other requirements, scope of services, and terms and conditions remain as required in the initial RFQ 274-FY2024-CM-06.

Roger A. Warner  
Engineering Services/Construction Management

**Sign below and return this addendum with your RFQ response.**

**Offeror Name & Company** \_\_\_\_\_

**Signature:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Date:** \_\_\_\_\_