

Procurement Department Solicitation Addendum

Solicitation Number: 88-240022-BO Solicitation Description: Audit Services Opening Date and Time: March 7, 2024 at 2:00PM Addendum Number: 1 Issue Date of Addendum: February 23, 2024 Purchasing Agent: Bonnie Ogden Bonnie.ogden@cpcc.edu 704.330.6515

1. Response to Vendor Questions.

Return one properly executed copy of this addendum with bid response on, or prior to, the Solicitation Opening Date/Time listed above. Failure to return a properly executed addendum may result in rejection of your proposal/bid.

EXECUTE ADDENDUM:

Offeror:	
Authorized Signature:	
Name and Titled (Typed):	
Date:	

#	RFP Reference	Vendor Question	College Response
1	Section 4.4	The RFP mentions a 10% HUB	This is not an RFP requirement. HUB
		participation goal. Is this	participation by vendors secured
		a requirement for this RFP? Is the	under other competitive solicitations
		current service provider currently	is not relevant to this RFP.
		using a HUB that meets the 10%	
		participation goal?	
2	Section 4.1	What were the most recent fiscal	Cost for services purchased under
		year's engagement fees for all services	another contract with its own
		provided?	unique scope of service are not
			provided in response to questions
			about this RFP and the scope of
			service specific to this competitive
			procurement. College documents
			subject to disclosure may be
			requested from the college via
			public records request.
3	Section 5.2	What is the preference for the audit –	While a specific method is not a
		on-site, hybrid, or remote?	requirement of the RFP, there may
			be times when the Vendor would be
			required to be on-site.
4	Section 5.2	Can you please provide the most	Per your request, the most recent
		recent audit report and/or return(s)	audited financial statements for
		related to the deliverables noted in	Central Piedmont Community
		RFP Section 5.2, (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g),	College Services Corporation and
		(h), (i), and (j)	Central Piedmont Community
			College Foundation were provided
			to you via response to your email
			request to <u>bonnie.ogden@cpcc.edu</u> .
			For access to other publicly available
			financial information related to the
			RFP scope of service, please visit
			GuideStar at guidestar.org.
5	1.0 PURPOSE AND	Why is CPCC soliciting vendors to	Based on North Carolina audit
	BACKGROUND (Page 7	propose on these services at this time?	regulations and state procurement
	of 26)		regulations, it is time for the college
			to competitively solicit these
			services again.
6	1.0 PURPOSE AND	Please provide us with any questions	Please refer to Section 2.5.
	BACKGROUND (Page 7	provided to you from other interested	Questions and responses received as
	of 26)	firms, along with your response.	prescribed in the RFP are posted to
			the electronic Vendor Portal via this
L			Addendum.
7	3.4 Evaluation Criteria	Do you prefer to work with a firm that	While a specific location is not a
	(Page 13 of 26)	has a local presence, or would you	requirement of the RFP, there may
		consider engaging a firm that does not	be times when the Vendor would be
		have a physical, local presence?	required to be on-site.
8	3.4 Evaluation Criteria	Will the current service provider for	This is an open competitive process
	(Page 13 of 26)	this engagement be allowed to re-	whereby any interested party may
		propose, or is there a required	respond to this RFP.
		rotation?	

9	3.4 Evaluation Criteria	What aspects of the current service	Some aspects include;
	(Page 13 of 26)	delivery for these services do you particularly like and value?	knowledgeable team, responsiveness to requests, and the ease of using a portal for loading documents and communicating between the audit team and client.
10	3.4 Evaluation Criteria (Page 13 of 26)	Is there anything related to the current service delivery that you would like to see improved or done differently?	Νο
11	3.4 Evaluation Criteria (Page 13 of 26)	Can you share what fees you are paying to the current provider(s) for each of the services in this RFP, either individually or in total? If not the actual fees, can you share the budget for these services? Or perhaps any expectations you may have regarding fees?	Refer to Question #2.
12	3.4 Evaluation Criteria (Page 13 of 26)	Has anything significant happened in the current year, which is different from prior years? Is something significant expected and/or budgeted to occur in the current year, or soon thereafter, which a potential auditor should be aware of?	The College is not aware of any significant expected and/or budgeted events or changes occurring in the current year, or soon thereafter, that a potential auditor should be aware of.
13	5.0 Specifications and Scope of Work (Page 16 of 26)	Does the current auditor traditionally perform procedures on-site? Are you all open to remote work?	During the course of the current audit contract, the auditor has performed procedures on-site, hybrid method, and remotely or a combination thereof.
14	5.0 Specifications and Scope of Work (Page 16 of 26)	Traditionally, how many weeks have the current auditors typically been on site for interim fieldwork and final fieldwork, time spent working remotely, and what was the size of their engagement team?	Timing of work has varied over the term of the current audit contract, however, please refer to the last note in the Central Piedmont Community College and WTVI PBS Charlotte financial statements for total hours taken to complete these audits. The work has been performed both remotely, on-site or a combination thereof. The college does not have a breakdown of hours spent remotely versus on-site. The size of the engagement team has varied for each entity and across audit years.
15	5.0 Specifications and Scope of Work (Page 16 of 26)	What week has final fieldwork begun in years past?	During the most recent audit period, final fieldwork began for the first entity the second week of September.
16	5.0 Specifications and Scope of Work (Page 16 of 26)	Have there been any recent audits related to this or past engagements?	It is unclear what is being asked.

2
!
;
<u>,</u>
quid
a lag.
lag by
0,
scal year
pital
ly be
r.
rant to
)24, Judit will
udit will
-
udit will
lege and last note
lege and last note ements
lege and last note ements tral
lege and lege and last note ements tral ge
lege and last note ements tral ge dmont
lege and lege and last note ements tral ge

25	5.0 Specifications and Scope of Work	Where there any internal control deficiencies in any of the prior year audits?	No, there were no internal control deficiencies in the most recent audits for the year ended June 30, 2023.
26	1.0 Purpose and Background	What were the prior year fees for Central Piedmont Community College Services Corporation, WTVI and Central Piedmont Community College Foundation?	Refer to Question #2.
27	5.0 Specifications and Scope of Work	Any significant changes (operationally, financially or with key personnel involved in the audit) or significant/unusual transactions expected to impact any of the FY24 financial statements?	Refer to Question #12.
28	5.0 Specifications and Scope of Work	Will Central Piedmont Community College Services Corporation, WTVI and Central Piedmont Community College Foundation need a Single Audit?	No, these entities do not need a single audit.
29	5.0 Specifications and Scope of Work	Have there been any reviews by federal oversight agencies?	No
30	1.0 Purpose and Background	Are these entities all managed by a central business office or does each entity have separate business offices?	All entities report to the Vice President of Finance & Facilities Operations. The Central Piedmont Community College Foundation is managed by the Associate Vice President (AVP) of Foundation Finance while the remaining entities are managed by the AVP of Financial and Auxiliary Services. Both AVPs report directly to the Vice President of Finance & Facilities Operations.
31	1.0 Purpose and Background	Can we get copies of the 2023 form 990s for Central Piedmont Community College, Central Piedmont Community College Services Corporation, WTVI and Central Piedmont Community College Foundation?	Refer to Question #4.
32	5.0 Specifications and Scope of Work	Do you prefer on-site, remote or a hybrid approach to the audits?	Refer to Question #3